



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/699,922 10/30/2000		Brig Barnum Elliott	00-4026	8244		
32127	7590 08/10/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	CORPORATE SERVICES	MICHALSK	MICHALSKI, JUSTIN I			
4. 0 0111111	IAN R. ANDERSEN I RIDGE DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MAILCODE HQEO3H14 IRVING, TX 75038			2644	10		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_				0/				
Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/699,92	2	ELLIOTT, BRIG BARN	10M				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Justin Mic		2644					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	SS				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a treply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. a reply within the statu seriod will apply and wil statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tory minimum of thirty (30) d I expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cation to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this common	unication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05/17/2004.							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
_	Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 24</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11-16 and 24</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>2 and 10</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 24 is/are rejected to.	hdrawn from cor ed.							
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) o the drawing(s) b orrection is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR ²					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for found All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bece the attached detailed Office action for	ments have bee ments have bee e priority docume tureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Sta	age				
2) Noti 3) Info	n t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		i 2)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-20 and 24 in the reply filed on 17 May 2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. This application contains claims 21-23 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in the reply filed on 17 May 2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 15, 16, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plunkett (US Patent 5,386,478).

Regarding Claim 1, Plunkett discloses a method for adjusting an audio level of an audio device (Figure 1), comprising: receiving a first audio signal (tone burst from loudspeaker 14L, Column 3, lines 42-43) from the audio device (system 18); receiving a data packet from the audio device (tone burst from loudspeaker 14R, Column 3, lines 43-44), the data packet comprising a second audio signal (Jones discloses 400 Hz tone, Column 3, line 42); determining whether a difference between the first audio signal and

Art Unit: 2644

the second audio signal exceeds a threshold value; and adjusting the level of the audio device when the difference between the first audio signal and the second audio signal exceeds the threshold value (Plunkett discloses corrections can be made when unbalances are found (i.e. threshold exceeded) (Column 3, lines 49-52). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize the tone burst from loudspeaker 14R as a data packet containing amplitude information as disclosed by Plunkett (Column 3, lines 44-49).

Regarding Claim 3, Plunkett further discloses determine whether the audio level is to be increased or decreased (Plunkett discloses making the necessary balance adjustments of gain controlled amplifiers which would include increasing or decreasing the audio level) (Column 3, lines 49-52).

Regarding Claim 4, Plunkett further discloses generating a data packet comprising a volume adjustment command, the volume adjustment command including a flag indicating that the audio level is to be increased or decreased, transmitting the data packet containing the volume adjustment command to the audio device (remote link 32), and adjusting the audio level based on the flag (Plunkett discloses corrections made through remote control 36 (i.e. generates data packet) to make necessary balance adjustments (i.e. adjusting audio level) of gain controlled amplifiers) (Column 3, lines 50-52).

Regarding Claim 7, Plunkett further discloses analysis (i.e. determining) (Column 3, line 49), based on the determining whether a difference between the first audio signal and the second audio signal exceeds the threshold value, an amount to adjust the audio

Art Unit: 2644

level of the audio device, and wherein the adjusting includes: adjusting the audio level based on the amount (Plunkett discloses corrections can be made when unbalances are found (i.e. threshold exceeded) to make the necessary (i.e. based on amount) balance adjustment (Column 3, lines 49-52)).

Regarding Claim 8, Plunkett discloses a system for adjusting an audio level of an audio device (Figure 1), comprising: means for receiving (microphone 36) at least one first audio signal (tone burst from loudspeaker 14L, Column 3, lines 42-43) from the audio device (device 18); means for receiving (microphone 36) a data packet from the audio device (tone burst from loudspeaker 14R, Column 3, lines 43-44), the data packet comprising at least one second audio signal (Jones discloses 400 Hz tone, Column 3, line 42); means for determining whether a difference the first audio signal and the second signal exceeds a threshold value; and means for adjusting the audio level of the audio device when the difference between the first audio signal and the second audio signal exceeds the threshold value (Plunkett discloses corrections can be made when unbalances are found (i.e. threshold exceeded) (Column 3, lines 49-52)). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize the tone burst from loudspeaker 14R as a data packet containing amplitude information as disclosed by Plunkett (Column 3, lines 44-49).

Regarding Claim 15, Plunkett discloses a method for determining whether to adjust a volume of a remote audio device (Figure 1), the method comprising: receiving at least one first audio signal (tone burst from loudspeaker 14L, Column 3, lines 42-43); receiving a data packet comprising at least one second audio signal (tone burst from

Art Unit: 2644

loudspeaker 14R, Column 3, lines 43-44); determining a difference between first audio signal and the second audio signal; comparing the difference to a threshold value; generating a volume adjustment command when the difference exceeds the threshold value (Plunkett discloses correction can be made when unbalances are found (i.e. threshold exceeded) (Column 3, line3s 49-52); and transmitting the volume adjustment command to the remote audio device (signal 32). It is inherent that the method be contained on a computer-readable medium (either hardware or software) in order for the device to function. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize the tone burst from loudspeaker 14R as a data packet containing amplitude information as disclosed by Plunkett (Column 3, lines 44-49).

Regarding Claim 16, Plunkett discloses a method comprising: transmitting at least one first audio signal (tone burst from loudspeaker 14L, Column 3, lines 42-43); generating a data packet (tone burst from loudspeaker 14R, Column 3, lines 43-44), the data packet comprising at least one second audio signal (Jones discloses 400 Hz tone, Column 3, line 42); transmitting the data packet to a remote device (remote control unit 34); receiving a volume adjustment command from the remote device (signal 32), the volume adjustment command comprising a flag indicating that the volume level is to be increased or decreased and adjusting the volume level in response to the flag (Plunkett discloses modules 24L and 24R for controlling loudness based on control signal (i.e. flag) from remote unit 34 (Column 2, lines 36-45). It is inherent that the method be contained on a computer-readable medium (either hardware or software) in order for the

Art Unit: 2644

device to function. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize the tone burst from loudspeaker 14R as a data packet containing amplitude information as disclosed by Plunkett (Column 3, lines 44-49).

Regarding Claim 24, Plunkett discloses a method for adjusting an audio level of an audio device (Figure 1), comprising: receiving a first audio signal comprising a plurality of sub-bands (tone burst from loudspeaker 14L (Column 3, lines 42-43), Jones discloses test signal can be made of frequency bands, i.e. sub bands (Paragraph bridging columns 3 and 4); receiving a data packet (tone burst from loudspeaker 14R, Column 3, lines 43-44), the data packet comprising a second audio signal and a plurality of sub-bands (Plunkett discloses separately controllable frequency bands (i.e. sub-bands) (Column 3, lines 66-68)); determining, for each sub-band, whether a difference between the sub-band of the first audio signal and a corresponding sub-band of the second audio signal exceeds a threshold value; and adjusting the audio level of a sub-band at the audio device when the difference between a sub-band of the first audio source and the corresponding sub-band of the second audio signal exceeds the threshold value (Plunkett discloses corrections (i.e. determining) can be made when unbalances are found (i.e. threshold exceeded) (Column 3, lines 49-52) and independent gain adjustment for each band, Column 4, lines 3-4). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize the tone burst from loudspeaker 14R as a data packet containing amplitude information as disclosed by Plunkett (Column 3, lines 44-49).

Art Unit: 2644

- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plunkett (US Patent 5,386,478) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Konstantinou et al. (US Patent 6,584,201). Plunkett discloses a method as stated apropos of claim 1. Plunkett does not disclose a reactivity setting value, and wherein the adjusting occurs when a time period since a previous audio level adjustment equals or exceeds the reactivity setting value. Konstantinou et al. discloses a remote automatic volume control apparatus which polls for changes in sound every second to prevent continual fluctuations in emitted sound level (i.e. adjusts after one second (reactivity time) has passed since last adjustment) (Column 5, line 63 through Column 6, line 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the reactivity time with the method disclosed by Plunkett to prevent continual fluctuations in the emitted sound as taught by Konstantinou et al.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plunkett as applied to claim 1 above in view of Hadley et al. (US Patent 6,061,455). As stated above apropos of claim 1 Plunkett makes obvious all elements of that claim. Plunkett does not disclose the threshold value set by a user. Hadley et al. discloses an audio system where a low and high threshold level is selected by the user (Column 2, lines 45-50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the user defined threshold with the method disclosed by

Page 7

Art Unit: 2644

Plunkett in order to create a user selected sound level output for a more customizable audio output.

7. Claims 9, 11, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plunkett (US Patent 5,386,478) in view of Sansur (US Patent 6,169,807).

Regarding Claim 9, Plunkett discloses a system for adjusting audio levels (Figure 1), comprising: a sensor (reference 10) configured to: receive a first audio signal (tone burst from loudspeaker 14L, Column 3, lines 42-43), receive at least one data packet (tone burst from loudspeaker 14R, Column 3, lines 43-44) comprising a second audio signal (Jones discloses 400 Hz tone, Column 3, line 42), determine whether a difference between volume of the first audio signal and the second audio signal exceeds a threshold value, generate a response data packet when the difference exceeds the threshold value (Plunkett discloses corrections can be made when unbalances are found (i.e. threshold exceeded) (Column 3, lines 49-52) including a volume adjustment command (signal 32), and transmit the response data packet; and an audio device (references 18, 14R, and 14L) configured: transmit the first audio signal (from 14L via path 12L), transmit the data packet to the sensor (from 14R via path 12R), receive the response data packet (signal 32 to module 30), and adjust an audio level based on the response data packet (control modules 24L and 24R). Plunkett does not disclose the first and second signals being average volume signals. Sansur discloses a remote automatic audio level control device which uses monitoring (i.e. receiving) average

Art Unit: 2644

audio levels to maintain a user defined volume level (Column 1, lines 50-57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the use of average volume signals with the device disclosed by Plunkett to adjust the volume in order to produce a reliable method of comparing two signals for a user defined volume level.

Regarding Claim 11, as stated above apropos of Claim 9, Plunkett as modified makes obvious all elements of that claim. Plunkett further discloses the sensor is configured to determine whether the audio level of the audio device is to be increased or decreased (Plunkett discloses making the necessary balance adjustments of gain controlled amplifiers which would include increasing or decreasing the audio level (Column 3, lines 49-52).

Regarding Claim 12, as stated above apropos of Claim 9, Plunkett as modified makes obvious all elements of that claim. Plunkett further discloses the response data packet further includes a flag indicating that the audio level of the audio device is to be increased or decreased, and the audio device is configured to adjust the audio level based on the flag (Plunkett discloses corrections made through remote control 36 (i.e. generates data packet) to make necessary balance adjustments (i.e. adjusting audio level) of gain controlled amplifiers) (Column 3, lines 50-52).

Regarding Claim 14, as stated above apropos of Claim 9, Plunkett as modified makes obvious all elements of that claim. Plunkett further discloses the sensor is configured to determine an amount of audio level adjustment, and store the amount in the response data packet (Plunkett discloses analysis is used to make correction sent

Art Unit: 2644

through data packet 32 by sensor 36 to make necessary balance adjustments of gain controlled amplifiers) (Column 3, lines 49-52).

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plunkett as modified as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Konstantinou et al. (US Patent 6,584,201. As stated above apropos of claim 9, Plunkett as modified makes obvious all elements of that claim. Plunkett does not disclose use of a reactivity value. Konstantinou et al. discloses a volume control system which polls for changes in sound every second to prevent continual fluctuations in emitted sound level (i.e. adjusts after one second (reactivity time) has passed since last adjustment) (Column 5, line 63 through column 6, line 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the reactivity time with the information sent by the data packet as disclosed in the method by Plunkett as modified to prevent continual fluctuations in the emitted sound.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 17-20 allowed.
- 10. Claims 2 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 10

Art Unit: 2644

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Michalski whose telephone number is (703)305-5598. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 Hours, 5 day/week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Isen can be reached on (703)305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JIM

PRIMARY EXAMINER